IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2241 OF 2001

Smt. Lalitadevi Suryajirao Patankar and anr. ..Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and ors. ..Respondents

Mr. R.G. Ketkar for petitioners.
Mr. A.H. Palekar, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 5.
Mr. P.B. Shah for respondent no.6.
Mr. Vijay Patil for respondent no.7.

**CORAM: B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.**

**Date : July 03, 2006.**

**P.C.:**

1. Heard Mr. Ketkar the learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Vijay Patil the learned counsel for the respondent no.7 and Mr. Shah the learned counsel for respondent no.6. Mr. Palekar, the learned AGP appears for respondent nos.1 to 5.

2. This petition under Article 227 has been filed assailing the communication dated 16/3/2001 by which the petitioners were informed that the stay granted earlier was vacated in the Revision Application submitted to the State Government. The petitioner

no.2 is present in the court and states that the petitioner no.1-his mother, is no more. Mr. Ketkar the learned counsel for the petitioners states that urgent steps will be taken to bring LRs of petitioner no.1 on record at the earliest and in any case the petitioner no.1 represents the suit property.

3. As the petition is pending for last about five years before this court, it would be appropriate that the same is disposed by directing expeditious hearing and decision in the pending Revision Application before the State Government as well as before the Additional Commissioner, Pune.

4. Hence, it is directed that the Revision Application No.333/99 pending before the Additional Commissioner, Pune is expedited. It is also directed that the Revision Application pending before the State Government against the order passed by the Additional Commissioner, Pune on 30/11/1999 be heard and decided as per law, as expeditiously as possible and preferably by 30th September, 2006 by the State Government and till the said Revision is decided, all the parties shall maintain status quo as at present in

respect of the suit property. The petitioner no.2 shall take appropriate steps to bring all the LRs of petitioner no.1 in the Revision Applications within two weeks from today.

**(B.H. Marlapalle,J.)**